

LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ACSC 59931 (1987D) 1816 09/989,796 11/20/2001 Michael Y. Zhang **EXAMINER** 24201 07/26/2005 FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP BUI, VY Q HOWARD HUGHES CENTER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 6060 CENTER DRIVE **TENTH FLOOR** 3731

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/989,796	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vy Q. Bui	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commu IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	Mav 2005.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applica	tion No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ved.	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/2005</u>. 	5) Solution of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/989,796

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear if "the inflated outer diameter radial shrinkage" in the claim is measured based on two different inflated pressures (one in the balloon mold and another as a part of a catheter).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26, 28-29, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WANG et al. (5,556,383).

WANG (examples 1-2, table 1, for example) discloses balloons (examples 1-2, lines 1-2 of table 1) having a length of 2cm/20mm and radial distention % or shrinkage % from the inflated outer diameters of the balloons to the inner diameters of the molds at an ambient temperature (about 2.25mm in example 1: col. 5, lines 50-56, and about

Application/Control Number: 09/989,796

Art Unit: 3731

3mm in example 2: col. 6, lines 26-36) at 4.2% (example 1) or 3.2% (example 2) when inflated from 88 psi (6 atm) to 132 psi (9 atm).

Notice that when one gradually increases the internal pressure inside the balloon, WANG balloon is gradually expanded and when one gradually decreases internal pressure of WANG balloon, the balloon will shrink and achieve shrinkage percentage(s) as recited in the claims.

The balloons have been heat set in the balloon molds placed in hot water baths providing substantially uniform heating at 90 Celsius (example 1: col. 6, lines 3-24) or 95 Celsius (example 2: col. 6, lines 33-34). Notice that the balloon mold is **gradually** dipped into the hot bath so as to provide enough time for the heat to uniformly distribute to the balloon mold.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-33, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WANG et al. (5,556,383).

As to claims 30-32, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the axial growth is less than 6% or 10%. However, WANG discloses radial shrinkage less than 6% in a radial direction and the material of the balloon is substantially homogenous.

therefore, it would be reasonable to expect the growth percentage in a same order to the radial shrinkage or less than 6% or 10%.

As to claim 33, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon is made at least in part of a polyurethane. However, pebax and polyurethane are well-known low compliant polymeric materials suitable for manufacturing balloon in a balloon catheter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have polyurethane as a material to make WANG balloon, as this configuration would guarantee a low compliant balloon with low shrinkage percentage.

As to claims 36-37, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon thickness in the range as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make WANG balloon having a thickness in the range as recited in the claims for other applications requiring balloons with bigger sizes.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 5/9/2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 has better defined the invention but is ineffective to overcome the WANG et al. (5,556,383) reference as indicated in the rejection presented above.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

07/22/2005